# NO on the Costly Restaurant Ordinance LA restaurants are already struggling to survive.

## Background

Los Angeles is home to more than 1,500 quick-service restaurants. The **overwhelming majority of these local restaurants are independent small businesses—locally owned by people of color, immigrants and women.** LA restaurants are already struggling to survive the state's new **\$20/hour minimum wage for fast food workers** —a 25% wage hike—and other growing operating costs. Now, the City of LA is considering a new unnecessary, duplicative and costly ordinance that **unfairly targets local restaurants**—yet again—and would **further increase food costs** for families already struggling.

# Here's why LA restaurant owners, small businesses, consumers and others **OPPOSE** the Costly Restaurant Ordinance:



## The Ordinance would <u>further crush local restaurant owners</u> jeopardizing their very existence.

- The \$20/hr minimum wage is projected to cost local restaurant owners \$250,000 per restaurant, per year.
  To offset costs, local restaurants have been forced to increase food prices, lay off employees and cut hours.
- According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, LA County has <u>lost</u> <u>9,698 fast food jobs</u> since AB 1228 passed in September 2023.
- Since AB 1228 passed in September 2023, 760 restaurants have permanently closed in the City of Los Angeles, with owners citing the \$20/hr minimum wage and unsustainable operating costs as key reasons for closing.
- A <u>recent study</u> by Oxford Economics found the *Ordinance* would add \$48,312 annually in new costs for a restaurant with 25 employees and \$96,624 annually for a restaurant with 50 employees.
- The Ordinance would lead to more layoffs, even higher food prices and would be the final straw that forces many LA restaurants to shut down for good.
- Nearly <u>60%</u> of California's restaurants are owned by people of color and <u>50%</u> are owned by women. Further, 70% of franchisees only own <u>one</u> restaurant.
- Singling out these local restaurants and minority-owned small businesses—yet again—is unfair and unjustified.

#### In a <u>recent survey</u> of local restaurant owners impacted by the \$20/hr minimum wage:

- 98% reported already raising food prices
- 89% reported reducing employee hours
  - 74% reported an increased likelihood of shutting down
- **70%** reported **reducing staff** or **consolidating positions**

The Ordinance is <u>unnecessary</u> and <u>duplicative</u>.

- California law already requires local restaurant owners to provide every employee with <u>extensive notices of their</u> <u>rights</u> and <u>training</u> on topics like violence and sexual harassment, health and workplace safety, and food safety and handling.
  - These notices and trainings are provided both upon hire and on an ongoing basis.
- Further, California just established a <u>statewide Fast Food</u> <u>Council specifically charged with developing minimum</u> <u>standards for training</u>, worker protections, health and safety for fast food workers.
- It makes no sense for LA to pass a duplicative and potentially conflicting city ordinance that would further strain local restaurants before the Fast Food Council even has a chance to function as intended.

### The Costly Restaurant Ordinance would:

- Mandate new rigid scheduling requirements that limit employee flexibility.
- Require restaurants to send employees off-site to complete unnecessary and duplicative training provided by outside third parties.



## The Ordinance would increase food costs for families already struggling.

- Since AB 1228 passed, local restaurants have been forced to increase food prices by 14.5% nearly double the national average.
- The Ordinance would add \$48,312 annually in new costs for a restaurant with 25 employees and \$96,624 annually for a restaurant with 50 employees. These costs will be passed along to consumers, driving food prices even higher, making it harder for families—especially low-income households and seniors—to access the affordable, convenient meals they depend on.
- If more restaurants are forced to close, entire neighborhoods—especially those in underserved areas—could lose access to affordable dining options.



# LA is facing a \$1 BILLION budget crisis and 600 layoffs— now is not the time for new, unnecessary costly programs.

- With over 600 worker layoffs and critical services already being cut as a result of the City's budget deficit, this
   Ordinance would divert scare resources to implement unnecessary new mandates the City can't afford to enforce.
- The City would be responsible for training and compliance for an estimated 91,500 workers each year—creating a massive administrative burden for already understaffed and underfunded city departments.
- The *Ordinance* would require hiring staff in departments that are slated for deep cuts—including the Office of Wage Standards which is set to lose nearly half (48%) of its workforce. The Bureau of Contract Administration (BCA) warned that **these cuts would severely hinder enforcement of existing labor laws**, let alone new mandates.
- The City Council should focus on economic recovery and protecting critical jobs and services—not new, duplicative programs that will further strain city resources and hurt small businesses.

## ProtectLARestaurants.com